EXPLANATORY NOTICE

Public Reference Materials — Court-Related Documents

Purpose of This Folder

This folder contains public reference copies of documents associated with a federal court matter and related communications and artifacts. The materials are provided for transparency, recordkeeping, research, and independent review. They are presented to allow readers to examine the documents as they appear and to conduct their own verification using official sources.
No document in this folder is represented here as a certified, official, or authoritative court record.

What These Documents Include

The materials in this folder may include, among other items:

- Court-Related Filings (Public Reference Copies)
- Documents presented as filings submitted in a United States District Court matter, preserved in their original or near-original form to allow review of content, formatting, pagination, and timestamps.
- Court Communication Presented as a Reply (Public Reference Copy)
- A document presented as a response or order from the court, included for comparison and examination alongside the referenced filings.
- Mailing and Delivery Records
- Copies of certified USPS tracking information and related delivery documentation, included to document mailing events, dates, and routing as reflected by the carrier’s records.
- Technical Artifacts and Website Evidence (Public Reference Copies)
- Materials documenting the existence of a website or web interface presented as a court-related site, including screenshots, source indicators, or references to commonly used open-source frameworks and plugins (e.g., real-time communication libraries). These items are included to support technical examination of how the site appeared and functioned at the time documented.

What These Documents Are Not

- They are not certified copies issued by a court clerk.
- They are not legal advice or legal conclusions.
- They do not constitute proof of authenticity, validity, or legal effect.
- Inclusion in this folder does not imply endorsement by any court, agency, or third party.

Neutrality and Verification

All materials are shared without conclusions regarding authenticity, accuracy, intent, or legal significance. Readers are encouraged to independently verify any court-related document through official sources, such as:

- The Clerk of Court for the relevant jurisdiction
- PACER
- CourtListener / RECAP
- Official USPS tracking systems (for mailing records)
- Preservation and Integrity
-

Where possible, documents are preserved as received or as originally generated, with filenames, pagination, and visual characteristics retained to support independent analysis. Redactions may appear in public copies to protect personal or sensitive information.

Use and Limitations

These materials are provided for:

- Research
- Transparency
- Historical recordkeeping
- Technical or legal analysis
- They should not be relied upon as substitutes for official court records or certified documents.
- No Legal Advice

Nothing in this folder creates an attorney–client relationship or provides legal advice. Individuals with legal questions should consult qualified counsel or appropriate court officials.

Attribution

This explanatory notice accompanies a public-interest reference collection curated to facilitate informed review and discussion. Attribution reflects the curator of the collection and not any court or governmental entity.

Subject: Request for Police Report and Documentation of Ongoing Harassment, Fraud, and Abuse

To: Johnstown Police Department From: Kayla Orsell Date: 06/15/2025 Address: Phone: (518)-705-4054

RE: Request to Document Alleged Criminal Activity and Harassment by Victor Orsell Jr. and Arva Lynn Orsell

Dear Officer,

I am submitting this formal request to document a detailed record of ongoing abuse, suspected fraud, cybercrime, and interference that I believe has been orchestrated by my father, Victor Orsell Jr., and my stepmother, Arva Lynn Orsell. These incidents have severely endangered my safety, compromised my communications, and obstructed my legal and financial rights. While I understand that the local police may have limited jurisdiction or investigative capacity, I am creating this report to pursue federal relief if these matters remain unresolved.

Please include the following allegations in your official report:

1. Suspected Trust and Inheritance Fraud:

I believe a concealed trust exists in my name or in my father’s name, potentially titled "Orsell," "Kayla Orsell Jr.," or "Victor P Jr."

I was told I would inherit 178 Spring Street after my father’’s passing. My father has collected rent on that property since approximately 2014–2016.

My current residence, labeled "81 1/2," is an unregistered apartment above my father's garage. I believe I have legal rights to this property as well, potentially held in trust.

My father has collected rent from both units without disclosure or consent.

Property ownership records are inconsistent, and deeds reference "life use" or omit standard deed data entirely.

2. Cyber Intrusion and Communications Sabotage:

My Spectrum internet account was originally under my father's name. He refused to give me control and added unauthorized recovery emails/security questions.

Even after transferring the account into my name, I have repeatedly found his and my stepmother’s credentials reinserted without my consent.

I suspect keyloggers and screen mirroring tools on my devices. Changes I make (passwords, pins) are immediately seen and undone.

I believe my VOIP line has been remotely reconfigured. I am unable to call Spectrum or other help lines due to manipulated settings.

I believe this is a high-level cyberattack involving AI, which can survive factory resets and possibly overlays trusted applications such as Walmart.

I have been trying to call Walmart's online support to remove a $186.03 hold from my fiancé Caderon Tyrrell's SNAP account after a failed grocery order. I am being blocked from reaching help, possibly due to app overlay or interference.

3. Mail Interference and Potential Federal Offenses:

I suspect that my stepbrother, John Valik, a postal worker, has intercepted, delayed, or destroyed important legal mail.

Letters to the NY Attorney General and habeas petitions may not have been delivered. I have received replies I believe may be falsified.

I believe property deeds, insurance records, and court mailings may also have been tampered with.

On May 31st, a Solis Mobile Hotspot I ordered for $103 showed a delayed delivery status, but when it eventually marked as delivered, days had passed. My stepmother and father claimed to know nothing, and I never received the package.

4. Financial Anomalies and Suspicious Wealth Accumulation:

John Valik now owns at least seven high-value properties on Lake Shore Road despite a low-income position.

These properties appear to be financed beyond his means and may involve trust assets or fraudulent deed/title activity.

Name suffixes and inconsistent age listings on property records suggest potential identity manipulation or document falsification.

5. Physical and Psychological Abuse:

I was jailed on fabricated felony charges. During my 32-day incarceration, I was denied medication for 17 days and faced food deprivation.

My father has used coercive control, surveillance, and intimidation to block my access to justice.

I have experienced EMF radiation in my home that causes overheating, pain, and distress. Devices associated with my father (including a suspicious wall clock) seem to be linked to this harassment.

I believe the death of my bird was caused by these environmental factors, which my surviving bird, Zelda, reacts to in fear.

My father and stepmother frequently enter my apartment while I am not home without my consent. I believe they are able to track my location at all times as part of this cyberattack.

6. Impeding Medical Care and Causing Physical Harm:

I was disabled at 23 due to mental health and am now physically disabled after a corticosteroid overdose caused by CVS.

I have no access to medical care, and I believe this is due to sabotage of communications and interference by my father and stepmother.

I suspect my health has been deliberately compromised through long-term radiation exposure or medical negligence.

7. Surveillance and Signal Interference:

I suspect the use of RF surveillance tools or Stingray-like devices to monitor or manipulate my digital environment.

Devices including printers and routers have exhibited unauthorized behavior.

I frequently detect a Wi-Fi Direct device named "Lynn's Firestick" in my vicinity, likely part of the attack.

I purchased a Dell Optiplex PC on Amazon for $109, which is suspiciously low. When it arrived, my stepmother Arva Lynn Orsell answered the door. I saw the computer, but they kept it from me for days before finally handing it over.

Upon opening the device, I found server-grade RAM and other suspicious hardware that may relate to surveillance or signal intrusion.

8. Interference with Legal Proceedings:

I filed a 28 U.S.C. §2241 habeas corpus petition in federal court and mailed related complaints to the Attorney General.

I have reason to believe those filings were blocked or altered.

I received a call from someone identifying as a court clerk, but I could not confirm the legitimacy of the communication due to cyber interference and believe the call may have been AI-generated.

9. Witness Corroboration and Isolation Attempts:

My fiancé, Caderon Tyrrell, has witnessed many of these events, including signal jamming, surveillance, cyber interference, and physical harassment.

He has been unable to get work, and his communications have also been intercepted or blocked.

We are both isolated from safe housing, medical care, and legal advocacy.

11. Recent SSA Benefit Denial and Suspicious Probate Events:

I recently received a letter from the Social Security Administration stating, based on a New York State regulation, that I would not receive SSI benefits while housed in an institution that takes 50% or more of my income. I believe this was based on fraudulent reporting of my living status.

On May 30th, 2024, Ronda Callery's probate proceeding in Fulton County Surrogate's Court finally closed after being open for a suspiciously long duration.

Ronda Callery was the ex-wife of Micheal G. Orsell. Her name still appears on two property deeds in Johnstown despite her death in 2019. The deeds appear to be warranty deeds without covenants and possibly only reflect life use.

Micheal Orsell and Ronda Callery have JTWROS accounts with Niagara Mohawk and NRAD distributions currently listed in the NYS Comptroller's unclaimed funds.

It appears that repeated process serving or legal citations were issued during Ronda's probate proceedings.

One such legal notice was dated April 9th, 2024—the same day I was falsely arrested on charges of Kidnapping 2nd and Assault 2nd.

The family tree in the probate court record was amended during the course of that proceeding.

12. Financial Fraud and Unauthorized Transactions:

I receive SSI benefits on an Atlas Bank Mastercard. There have been unauthorized charges on this card.

On Thursday, May 29th at 2:57 PM, I was charged $69.27 by a fraudulent entity impersonating Walmart.

I also received a $0.00 transaction from the U.S. Treasury around the time I may have triggered a system identifying me as an heir of Micheal G. Orsell.

My bank account was locked for nearly 24 hours following this Treasury transaction.

During this time, I was unable to access support. It appeared as though someone was intercepting or delaying emails from the card company.

For two consecutive months, I have been locked out of my account and prevented from confirming my last transaction.

I have documented these incidents extensively and am prepared to provide:

- **Photographic and digital evidence**
- **Router and network logs**
- **Court documents and affidavits**

I ask that this document be logged as a formal police report. If no action can be taken at the local level, I intend to forward this report and evidence to the FBI, the U.S. Postal Inspection Service, and the Department of Justice.

Thank you for your time and assistance. I am in fear for my safety and the well-being of my remaining pet, and I seek protection through lawful channels.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell

06/15/2025

Subject: Request for Police Report and Documentation of Ongoing Harassment, Fraud, and Abuse

To: Johnstown Police Department From: Kayla Orsell Date: 06/15/2025 Address: Phone: (518)-705-4054

RE: Request to Document Alleged Criminal Activity and Harassment by Victor Orsell Jr. and Arva Lynn Orsell

Dear Officer,

I am submitting this formal request to document a detailed record of ongoing abuse, suspected fraud, cybercrime, and interference that I believe has been orchestrated by my father, Victor Orsell Jr., and my stepmother, Arva Lynn Orsell. These incidents have severely endangered my safety, compromised my communications, and obstructed my legal and financial rights. While I understand that the local police may have limited jurisdiction or investigative capacity, I am creating this report to pursue federal relief if these matters remain unresolved.

Please include the following allegations in your official report:

1. Suspected Trust and Inheritance Fraud:

I believe a concealed trust exists in my name or in my father’s name, potentially titled "Orsell," "Kayla Orsell Jr.," or "Victor P Jr."

I was told I would inherit 178 Spring Street after my father’’s passing. My father has collected rent on that property since approximately 2014–2016.

My current residence, labeled "81 1/2," is an unregistered apartment above my father's garage. I believe I have legal rights to this property as well, potentially held in trust.

My father has collected rent from both units without disclosure or consent.

Property ownership records are inconsistent, and deeds reference "life use" or omit standard deed data entirely.

2. Cyber Intrusion and Communications Sabotage:

My Spectrum internet account was originally under my father's name. He refused to give me control and added unauthorized recovery emails/security questions.

Even after transferring the account into my name, I have repeatedly found his and my stepmother’s credentials reinserted without my consent.

I suspect keyloggers and screen mirroring tools on my devices. Changes I make (passwords, pins) are immediately seen and undone.

I believe my VOIP line has been remotely reconfigured. I am unable to call Spectrum or other help lines due to manipulated settings.

I believe this is a high-level cyberattack involving AI, which can survive factory resets and possibly overlays trusted applications such as Walmart.

I have been trying to call Walmart's online support to remove a $186.03 hold from my fiancé Caderon Tyrrell's SNAP account after a failed grocery order. I am being blocked from reaching help, possibly due to app overlay or interference.

3. Mail Interference and Potential Federal Offenses:

I suspect that my stepbrother, John Valik, a postal worker, has intercepted, delayed, or destroyed important legal mail.

Letters to the NY Attorney General and habeas petitions may not have been delivered. I have received replies I believe may be falsified.

I believe property deeds, insurance records, and court mailings may also have been tampered with.

On May 31st, a Solis Mobile Hotspot I ordered for $103 showed a delayed delivery status, but when it eventually marked as delivered, days had passed. My stepmother and father claimed to know nothing, and I never received the package.

4. Financial Anomalies and Suspicious Wealth Accumulation:

John Valik now owns at least seven high-value properties on Lake Shore Road despite a low-income position.

These properties appear to be financed beyond his means and may involve trust assets or fraudulent deed/title activity.

Name suffixes and inconsistent age listings on property records suggest potential identity manipulation or document falsification.

5. Physical and Psychological Abuse:

I was jailed on fabricated felony charges. During my 32-day incarceration, I was denied medication for 17 days and faced food deprivation.

My father has used coercive control, surveillance, and intimidation to block my access to justice.

I have experienced EMF radiation in my home that causes overheating, pain, and distress. Devices associated with my father (including a suspicious wall clock) seem to be linked to this harassment.

I believe the death of my bird was caused by these environmental factors, which my surviving bird, Zelda, reacts to in fear.

My father and stepmother frequently enter my apartment while I am not home without my consent. I believe they are able to track my location at all times as part of this cyberattack.

6. Impeding Medical Care and Causing Physical Harm:

I was disabled at 23 due to mental health and am now physically disabled after a corticosteroid overdose caused by CVS.

I have no access to medical care, and I believe this is due to sabotage of communications and interference by my father and stepmother.

I suspect my health has been deliberately compromised through long-term radiation exposure or medical negligence.

7. Surveillance and Signal Interference:

I suspect the use of RF surveillance tools or Stingray-like devices to monitor or manipulate my digital environment.

Devices including printers and routers have exhibited unauthorized behavior.

I frequently detect a Wi-Fi Direct device named "Lynn's Firestick" in my vicinity, likely part of the attack.

I purchased a Dell Optiplex PC on Amazon for $109, which is suspiciously low. When it arrived, my stepmother Arva Lynn Orsell answered the door. I saw the computer, but they kept it from me for days before finally handing it over.

Upon opening the device, I found server-grade RAM and other suspicious hardware that may relate to surveillance or signal intrusion.

8. Interference with Legal Proceedings:

I filed a 28 U.S.C. §2241 habeas corpus petition in federal court and mailed related complaints to the Attorney General.

I have reason to believe those filings were blocked or altered.

I received a call from someone identifying as a court clerk, but I could not confirm the legitimacy of the communication due to cyber interference and believe the call may have been AI-generated.

9. Witness Corroboration and Isolation Attempts:

My fiancé, Caderon Tyrrell, has witnessed many of these events, including signal jamming, surveillance, cyber interference, and physical harassment.

He has been unable to get work, and his communications have also been intercepted or blocked.

We are both isolated from safe housing, medical care, and legal advocacy.

11. Recent SSA Benefit Denial and Suspicious Probate Events:

I recently received a letter from the Social Security Administration stating, based on a New York State regulation, that I would not receive SSI benefits while housed in an institution that takes 50% or more of my income. I believe this was based on fraudulent reporting of my living status.

On May 30th, 2024, Ronda Callery's probate proceeding in Fulton County Surrogate's Court finally closed after being open for a suspiciously long duration.

Ronda Callery was the ex-wife of Micheal G. Orsell. Her name still appears on two property deeds in Johnstown despite her death in 2019. The deeds appear to be warranty deeds without covenants and possibly only reflect life use.

Micheal Orsell and Ronda Callery have JTWROS accounts with Niagara Mohawk and NRAD distributions currently listed in the NYS Comptroller's unclaimed funds.

It appears that repeated process serving or legal citations were issued during Ronda's probate proceedings.

One such legal notice was dated April 9th, 2024—the same day I was falsely arrested on charges of Kidnapping 2nd and Assault 2nd.

The family tree in the probate court record was amended during the course of that proceeding.

12. Financial Fraud and Unauthorized Transactions:

I receive SSI benefits on an Atlas Bank Mastercard. There have been unauthorized charges on this card.

On Thursday, May 29th at 2:57 PM, I was charged $69.27 by a fraudulent entity impersonating Walmart.

I also received a $0.00 transaction from the U.S. Treasury around the time I may have triggered a system identifying me as an heir of Micheal G. Orsell.

My bank account was locked for nearly 24 hours following this Treasury transaction.

During this time, I was unable to access support. It appeared as though someone was intercepting or delaying emails from the card company.

For two consecutive months, I have been locked out of my account and prevented from confirming my last transaction.

I have documented these incidents extensively and am prepared to provide:

- **Photographic and digital evidence**
- **Router and network logs**
- **Court documents and affidavits**

I ask that this document be logged as a formal police report. If no action can be taken at the local level, I intend to forward this report and evidence to the FBI, the U.S. Postal Inspection Service, and the Department of Justice.

Thank you for your time and assistance. I am in fear for my safety and the well-being of my remaining pet, and I seek protection through lawful channels.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell

06/15/2025

Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095

May 31, 2025

Affidavit of Kayla Orsell Regarding Coordinated Criminal Activity Under RICO Statutes

I, Kayla Orsell, submit this affidavit to document and formally report an ongoing pattern of organized criminal activity involving members of my immediate and extended family, law enforcement personnel, and possible government agency insiders. I believe the scope, coordination, and persistence of these activities meet the criteria established under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), codified at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961–1968.

I. Mail Tampering and Communication Interference

My legal and personal mail has been consistently tampered with, delayed, or unlawfully intercepted. I have hard evidence and firsthand experience indicating that my stepbrother, John Valik, who is employed by the United States Postal Service, is directly involved. Despite his listed income being 38% below average for a postal worker, John Valik—age 37—has recently appeared on public property records as the owner of at least seven high-value properties along Lake Shore Road. These properties are listed under various inconsistent names such as “John M Valik,” “John C Valik,” and “John Valik Jr.”

Online background check platforms reveal conflicting age data tied to these names—showing ages of 37 (John's actual age), 59 (the age of my stepmother), and 64 (the age of my father). These identity inconsistencies suggest deliberate obfuscation or misuse of records to conceal the scope of property holdings and financial dealings.

II. Interference with Legal Proceedings

I filed a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas corpus petition in the Northern District of New York (Orsell v. Spychalski et al.) on or around November 13, 2024. I also submitted a formal grievance to the NY State Attorney General. I now have credible reason to believe that neither of these communications reached their intended recipients. I received a response from the AG’s office suggesting misconduct was acknowledged but not pursued—yet I believe that reply may have been falsified or intercepted. My electronic communications and mail were under constant surveillance or interference at the time, and multiple devices were targeted in what I believe was a coordinated cyberattack.

III. Identity Misuse and Genetic Evidence

In March 2025, I submitted a DNA sample to MyHeritage. The analysis returned a 99.4% identical match to what appeared to be myself or a twin. Further investigation of the raw DNA file revealed the presence of more than 3,000 Y chromosomes—indicating the sample was male. The family matches returned included names linked by background check data to my stepbrother and stepmother. This supports my longstanding suspicion that my true identity and family origins were concealed or manipulated.

IV. Physical and Psychological Abuse

Throughout my childhood, I was subjected to coercion and abuse. I was introduced to illegal drugs by my father at age 16 and used to assist in growing marijuana as young as 5 years old. At age 12, I suffered a severe leg injury and was left in the road screaming in pain. When help arrived, my father forcibly bent my broken leg, worsening the injury. I was denied prompt medical care and made to crawl up stairs using my arms.

As an adult, my housing and safety were repeatedly threatened when I attempted to speak out. My father padlocked my only exit, disabled my vehicles, and coerced me—via threats of homelessness—into accepting a false plea deal when I wished to go to trial.

V. Pattern of Conduct and Coordination

The activities described above are not isolated events. They represent a coordinated pattern of conduct that includes: Mail and communications fraud Property and identity fraud Interference with the courts Physical and psychological abuse Cyber sabotage Possible involvement of public officials and misuse of government positions

Conclusion

These acts constitute an ongoing criminal enterprise under 18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B) and § 1962, specifically involving obstruction of justice, mail fraud, wire fraud, and identity fraud—all predicate offenses under the RICO Act.

I am submitting this affidavit in the interest of justice and request that it be reviewed by an appropriate agency with jurisdiction to investigate and intervene. I am prepared to provide physical evidence, digital files, legal documentation, and sworn statements in support of this affidavit.

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Orsell

README — Alleged Forged Federal Court Filings (For Analysis)

Purpose of This Folder
This folder contains documents presented as **alleged** federal court filings that are provided **solely for public review, research, and analysis**. The materials are shared to facilitate examination of authenticity indicators, formatting anomalies, docket inconsistencies, and other factors relevant to determining whether the documents are genuine or forged.

Important Notice
Nothing in this folder represents a claim that the documents are authentic court records. No document herein should be relied upon as an official filing, legal authority, or proof of court action. All items are labeled and preserved for transparency and investigative review only.

Scope
Materials may include scanned pleadings, petitions, orders, coversheets, or related exhibits that purport to resemble federal court filings. Where possible, original filenames, pagination, and visual characteristics have been preserved to allow independent assessment.

Redactions
Personal identifiers and sensitive information may be redacted in public versions. Any redactions are made to protect privacy and do not imply authenticity or falsity.

Verification & Analysis
Readers are encouraged to independently verify any document against official sources such as PACER, CourtListener/RECAP, or the relevant Clerk of Court. Hashes, timestamps, or mirrors may be provided to support integrity checks.

No Legal Advice
This repository does not provide legal advice. Nothing herein creates an attorney–client relationship or substitutes for consultation with qualified counsel.

Contact / Attribution
This README accompanies a public-interest collection intended to support transparency and informed discussion. Attribution, if any, reflects the curator of the collection and not a court or governmental entity.

Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095

May 31, 2025

Affidavit of Kayla Orsell Regarding Coordinated Criminal Activity Under RICO Statutes

I, Kayla Orsell, submit this affidavit to document and formally report an ongoing pattern of organized criminal activity involving members of my immediate and extended family, law enforcement personnel, and possible government agency insiders. I believe the scope, coordination, and persistence of these activities meet the criteria established under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), codified at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961–1968.

I. Mail Tampering and Communication Interference

My legal and personal mail has been consistently tampered with, delayed, or unlawfully intercepted. I have hard evidence and firsthand experience indicating that my stepbrother, John Valik, who is employed by the United States Postal Service, is directly involved. Despite his listed income being 38% below average for a postal worker, John Valik—age 37—has recently appeared on public property records as the owner of at least seven high-value properties along Lake Shore Road. These properties are listed under various inconsistent names such as “John M Valik,” “John C Valik,” and “John Valik Jr.”

Online background check platforms reveal conflicting age data tied to these names—showing ages of 37 (John's actual age), 59 (the age of my stepmother), and 64 (the age of my father). These identity inconsistencies suggest deliberate obfuscation or misuse of records to conceal the scope of property holdings and financial dealings.

II. Interference with Legal Proceedings

I filed a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas corpus petition in the Northern District of New York (Orsell v. Spychalski et al.) on or around November 13, 2024. I also submitted a formal grievance to the NY State Attorney General. I now have credible reason to believe that neither of these communications reached their intended recipients. I received a response from the AG’s office suggesting misconduct was acknowledged but not pursued—yet I believe that reply may have been falsified or intercepted. My electronic communications and mail were under constant surveillance or interference at the time, and multiple devices were targeted in what I believe was a coordinated cyberattack.

III. Identity Misuse and Genetic Evidence

In March 2025, I submitted a DNA sample to MyHeritage. The analysis returned a 99.4% identical match to what appeared to be myself or a twin. Further investigation of the raw DNA file revealed the presence of more than 3,000 Y chromosomes—indicating the sample was male. The family matches returned included names linked by background check data to my stepbrother and stepmother. This supports my longstanding suspicion that my true identity and family origins were concealed or manipulated.

IV. Physical and Psychological Abuse

Throughout my childhood, I was subjected to coercion and abuse. I was introduced to illegal drugs by my father at age 16 and used to assist in growing marijuana as young as 5 years old. At age 12, I suffered a severe leg injury and was left in the road screaming in pain. When help arrived, my father forcibly bent my broken leg, worsening the injury. I was denied prompt medical care and made to crawl up stairs using my arms.

As an adult, my housing and safety were repeatedly threatened when I attempted to speak out. My father padlocked my only exit, disabled my vehicles, and coerced me—via threats of homelessness—into accepting a false plea deal when I wished to go to trial.

V. Pattern of Conduct and Coordination

The activities described above are not isolated events. They represent a coordinated pattern of conduct that includes: Mail and communications fraud Property and identity fraud Interference with the courts Physical and psychological abuse Cyber sabotage Possible involvement of public officials and misuse of government positions

Conclusion

These acts constitute an ongoing criminal enterprise under 18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B) and § 1962, specifically involving obstruction of justice, mail fraud, wire fraud, and identity fraud—all predicate offenses under the RICO Act.

I am submitting this affidavit in the interest of justice and request that it be reviewed by an appropriate agency with jurisdiction to investigate and intervene. I am prepared to provide physical evidence, digital files, legal documentation, and sworn statements in support of this affidavit.

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Orsell

 Exhibit

Urgent: DNA Kit Account Discrepancy and Possible Tampering Concern

2 messages

Kayla Orsell <kaylaorsell2@gmail.com> Mon, May 5, 2025 at 4:42 AM
To: support@myheritage.com

Dear MyHeritage Support Team,

I am reaching out regarding a serious issue involving my DNA kit and account access. I originally purchased my DNA kit through MyHeritage using the email address However, I recently discovered that my DNA results appear to be associated with a different email account, koscustoms51g outlook.com, which I did not authorize for this purpose.

In addition to this discrepancy, I experienced a troubling incident during the return process of my DNA sample. After mailing the kit from my home mailbox, it was returned with an ink stamp claiming improper postage. I then brought the unopened, original package directly to the post office, where they verified that the postage was, in fact, correct. I sent the same package again, and it was accepted without issue. This has raised concerns for me about possible tampering, especially since the package was left in my family's mailbox for over a day before I noticed the return.

Given these circumstances, I am requesting the following:

1. Confirmation of the email address and account currently linked to my DNA kit.

2. Reassignment of the kit and all associated data to my verified purchase email: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com.

3. Assurance that no unauthorized parties have accessed or altered my DNA sample, profile, or results.

4. A downloadable copy of the raw DNA data currently associated with my sample.

I am very concerned about the integrity of my DNA results and potential identity issues. Please treat this as an urgent matter and advise me on the next steps to secure my information and ensure I have rightful control over my genetic data.

Thank you for your prompt attention.

Sincerely,
Kayla Orsell
Email: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com
DOB: October 30, 1988
[Include Kit Activation Code or Order Number if available]

MyHeritage Support <no-reply@myheritage.com> Mon, May 5, 2025 at 4:46 AM
To: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Hi,

This is an automated response to the email you sent to support@myheritage.com. We understand you would like to get in touch with us, but this email address is not monitored and our team will not be able to read or respond to your email.

Your satisfaction is important to us, so we want to make sure your inquiry is properly addressed. If you wish to contact our Support team, please visit this page to submit your request, and an agent will contact you shortly.

Alternatively, we recommend consulting our virtual assistant to receive immediate help with any billing or shipping issues. You can also get instant answers to frequently asked questions and find step-by-step guides for using MyHeritage by visiting our Help Center.

To receive personalized assistance, please log into your MyHeritage account before accessing the virtual assistant or the Help Center.

“‘*Please do not reply to this email, as we will not be able to see your reply.*““

Sincerely,
The MyHeritage Support Team

[Quoted text hidden]

Urgent Inquiry: "Self / Identical Twin" DNA Match — Possible Duplication or Tampering

2 messages

Kayla Orsell «kaylaorsell2@gmail.com> Mon, May 5, 2025 at 4:57 AM
To: support@myheritage.com

Dear MyHeritage Support,

I am writing to urgently request your assistance regarding a highly concerning issue with my DNA results. On my DNA Match list, I am shown to have a match labeled as “Self / Identical Twin” sharing 99.4°a DNA with me. However, I have only ever submitted one DNA sample and used one kit purchased under my email address: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com.

To be clear:

I have not uploaded my raw DNA file to any other MyHeritage account.

I have not submitted multiple DNA samples.

I did not authorize anyone else to submit or upload my DNA data.

Furthermore, I previously experienced an issue where my DNA sample was returned with a postage stamp stating it was “improper," despite being verified as correct by the post office. This event, along with the current match anomaly, raises serious concerns for me about potential tampering, identity compromise, or internal duplication of my sample or data.

I respectfully request the following:

1. Verification of any other account(s) that have uploaded or are managing a DNA kit with my genetic data.

2. The email address and user name associated with the ”Self / Identical Twin“ match.

3. Confirmation of whether MyHeritage's systems duplicated my sample or data internally.

4. Reassurance that my genetic information has not been accessed or misused by unauthorized parties.

5. If applicable, please remove or merge the duplicate kit and ensure my account is the sole manager of my DNA.

Please treat this request as urgent, as it relates to the integrity of my genetic data and potential privacy violations.

Thank you for your prompt attention. I am happy to provide further identifying information or verification as needed.

Sincerely,
Kayla Orsell
Email: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com
DOB: October 30, 1988

MyHeritage Support «no-reply@myheritage.com» Mon, May 5, 2025 at 5:01 AM
To: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Hi,

This is an automated response to the email you sent to support@myheritage.com. We understand you would like to get in touch with us, but this email address is not monitored and our team will not be able to read or respond to your email.

Your satisfaction is important to us, so we want to make sure your inquiry is properly addressed. If you wish to contact our Support team, please visit this page to submit your request, and an agent will contact you shortly.

Alternatively, we recommend consulting our virtual assistant to receive immediate help with any billing or shipping **issues. You** can also get instant answers to frequently asked questions and find step-by-step guides for using MyHeritage by visiting our Help Center.

To receive personalized assistance, please log into your MyHeritage account before accessing the virtual assistant or the Help Center.

"Please do not reply to this email, as we will not be able to see your reply."

Sincerely,
The MyHeritage Support Team

[Quoted text hidden]

SWORN AFFIDAVIT OF INHERITANCE, FRAUD, AND OBSTRUCTION

I, Kayla Orsell, residing in Johnstown, New York, being of sound mind and under oath, do hereby swear and affirm the following facts based on my personal knowledge, experience, and documentation:

1. BACKGROUND AND FAMILY HISTORY

1.1. I am the biological daughter of Micheal G. Orsell (deceased 1998), or potentially his son Todd Orsell (deceased 2008), and have reason to believe I was named or intended to be named as an heir in one or more estate or trust instruments. Due to DNA tampering and obstruction, I have been prevented from confirming my true biological parentage. 1.2. My paternity is uncertain because my DNA sample was stolen or tampered with in the mail, and the results I received were falsely attributed to a male profile. This occurred during a period of heightened interference with my communications and court activity. 1.3. Micheal Orsell was formerly married to Rhonda (also spelled "Rondah") Callery (deceased 2019), with whom he held joint property and financial accounts. These assets were never properly resolved through probate and remain in dispute. 1.4. I have no confirmed information about my biological mother, and attempts to uncover her identity have also been obstructed.

2. EXCLUSION FROM PROBATE AND PROPERTY INTERESTS

2.1. Rhonda Callery's estate (File No. 2024-9) was opened in Fulton County Surrogate's Court in 2024—over four years after her death—and closed unusually quickly with no notice to me. 2.2. I have legal standing as a potential distributee or trust beneficiary due to my relation to the Orsell family. However, I was never served or included in the estate family tree, which was later amended. 2.3. Properties tied to the estate—including 178 Spring Street, 334 W. Madison Avenue, and a parcel on Route 29—appear to have been fraudulently conveyed using life estate deeds and unrecorded remaindermen. The Gloversville Assessor's Office refused to identify the remainderman of 178 Spring Street despite my inquiry. 2.4. Multiple properties and JTWROS financial accounts remain in the names of deceased individuals and were excluded from probate disclosure. 2.5. My fiancé Caderon Tyrrell personally confirmed that Brandi Orsell Maye, listed as successor trustee, was unaware of the probate proceeding.

3. SUSPICIOUS TIMING OF ARREST AND BENEFIT INTERRUPTION

3.1. I was arrested on April 9, 2024, on fabricated misdemeanor charges. This coincided exactly with procedural filings and notices in the probate case of Rhonda Callery. 3.2. Around the same time, I received a letter from the Social Security Administration (SSA) claiming I had been institutionalized in a facility that absorbed over 50% of my monthly SSI benefit. This was false—I was not in any institution. 3.3. I believe this fraudulent institutionalization report was submitted to isolate me financially and legally during key probate developments.

4. DNA COLLECTION FRAUD AND IDENTITY THEFT

4.1. Following my arrest, my probation officer and local judge demanded a DNA sample—despite this being a misdemeanor case. New York State does not require DNA collection for misdemeanor

offenses. 4.2. My DNA was collected in a highly irregular manner: a swab of saliva onto paper, which I was charged $50 for. I have reason to believe the DNA collected was mishandled, replaced, or never sent to a legitimate lab. 4.3. A separate DNA test I attempted to submit by mail was intercepted. The results returned indicated a male profile—not mine—confirming interference. 4.4. The cumulative DNA manipulation has obstructed my ability to confirm lineage to Micheal or Todd Orsell, and has thus denied my rights to inheritance, medical history, and familial association.

5. COURTROOM OBSTRUCTION AND CLERK MISCONDUCT

5.1. When I visited the Fulton County Surrogate's Court to obtain the probate record for Rhonda Callery's estate, the court clerk falsely claimed there was no such file. 5.2. I showed the clerk a screenshot of the public WebSurrogate case listing. She then refused to allow me access to the public computer terminal and demanded to know my interest in the case. 5.3. Despite my explanation—that I may be Micheal Orsell's biological child and was excluded from the proceeding—she dismissed my concerns and obstructed further access.

6. CONFLICT OF INTEREST: JUDGE CHAD W. BROWN

6.1. My former defense attorney and my father both claimed Chad Brown was the District Attorney on my criminal case. In fact, James P. Riley was the DA of record. 6.2. Judge Chad Brown now presides over the Surrogate's Court case involving the estate and properties of Rhonda Callery—directly tied to the Orsell family. 6.3. This presents a serious conflict of interest, especially as my criminal case was used to extract DNA and prevent me from pursuing rightful estate claims.

7. HABEAS CORPUS OBSTRUCTION

7.1. I filed a federal habeas corpus petition (2241) under the name Kayla Orsell while incarcerated, alleging due process violations, ineffective assistance of counsel, and obstruction of access to the courts. 7.2. I later discovered that no court response was received, and I have reason to believe the filing and supporting mail were intercepted or blocked. 7.3. I suspect falsified replies were generated or my petition was intentionally misrouted. My supporting exhibits and legal requests have also gone unacknowledged.

8. REQUEST FOR RELIEF AND INVESTIGATION

I respectfully request that this affidavit be accepted as a formal complaint and call to action for: - Investigation into the estate of Rhonda Callery, including concealed trust and probate records; - Review of potential judicial misconduct by Judge Chad W. Brown and defense attorney collusion; - Production of the DNA collection chain of custody and investigation into sample replacement; - Review of Social Security's false institutionalization letter and related benefit suspension; - Disclosure of any guardianship or secret trust established in my name; - Immediate recognition of my standing as an heir or distributee to the Orsell estate; - Reinstatement of full SSI benefits and legal standing to contest past convictions.

I affirm under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Date: 06/10/2025

Signature: 

Kayla Orsell
Johnstown, NY

Mail Interference Patterns - Evidence Summary

Based on documented incidents involving USPS handling of mailed items

Pattern A: Buffalo Return Address Override Fraud

1. Mail dropped in blue box at St. Johnsville USPS with Buffalo digital mailbox return address.
2. Insider identifies mail via collection timing (possible vehicle tracking, geofence, ALPR).
3. Before or during initial processing, USPS Address Management System (AMS) is altered to replace the printed Buffalo return address with sender's actual home address in Johnstown, NY.
4. Mail is routed to an intercept facility (e.g., Springfield, MA) instead of intended destination.
5. Yellow 'Return to Sender' label applied; piece is sent back to home address without real delivery attempt.

Pattern B: Syracuse Premature Return-to-Sender

1. Mail dropped in blue box at St. Johnsville USPS with actual home return address.
2. Item should route south/west toward Kentucky but is diverted to Syracuse, NY P&DC.
3. Syracuse facility applies 'Return to Sender' label before any delivery attempt to Kentucky.
4. RTS codes on yellow label are internal routing/handling indicators, not consumer-facing reasons.
5. Returned directly to sender, bypassing the intended delivery region entirely.
6. Strongly suggests facility-level insider access or cooperation at Syracuse P&DC.

Key Observations Linking Both Patterns

- Both patterns involve premature RTS without proper delivery attempt.
- Different return address handling: Pattern A uses override, Pattern B uses facility diversion.
- Multiple intercept facilities suggest broader insider network (Springfield, Syracuse).
- Consistent with targeted mail interference related to legal filings and sensitive correspondence.

MITM Intrusion Investigation Report

Incident Summary

User observed suspicious behavior while accessing https://nynd.uscourts.gov, including injected live chat widgets, unexplained WebSocket traffic, and invalid security certificates.

The behavior persisted across sessions and appeared to modify or spoof otherwise secure court-related content.

Investigation revealed unauthorized WebSocket connections to alive5.com using socket.io, which are not associated with any U.S. government domains.

A rogue device was detected on the local network at IP address 192.168.1.181 with MAC address dc-c2-c9-73-90-92.

Device and Network Evidence

ARP Scan:

- Detected 192.168.1.181 on local network
- MAC: dc-c2-c9-73-90-92
- Device does not respond to NetBIOS (nbtstat)

Ping:

- Device responds, but with fluctuating latency (3ms to 156ms), suggesting active packet processing

TLS Warning:

- Visiting https://192.168.1.181 results in a certificate error: NET::ERR_CERT_AUTHORITY_INVALID

- Same error appears on router (192.168.1.1), suggesting proxy or firmware compromise

Web Evidence and Behavior

- Browser DevTools confirmed connections to:

  wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/

- This is not a U.S. government domain

- JavaScript libraries and injected socket.io code appeared in otherwise trusted pages

- Suggests active man-in-the-middle (MITM) injection or proxy

- Disabling Wi-Fi and switching to mobile data bypasses the injection, confirming local network tampering

Conclusion and Threat Level

This evidence confirms the presence of a man-in-the-middle proxy operating at 192.168.1.181, capable of injecting content into secure (HTTPS) websites including U.S. court domains.

The rogue device is intercepting or modifying web traffic and could be used for surveillance, phishing, or disinformation.

Immediate action is recommended:

- Physically remove or block the device

- Reset the router and re-secure the network

- Submit this report to IC3, DHS CISA, or trusted legal authorities

Suggested MAC Address Block: dc-c2-c9-73-90-92

MITM Intrusion Investigation Report

Prepared By

Kayla Orsell

Date: June 5, 2025

This report is based on direct technical observation, system analysis, and forensic screenshots captured during an active intrusion. Supporting materials available upon request.

Consolidated MITM and Network Interference Report

Overview

This report documents strong evidence of an active man-in-the-middle (MITM) interception or proxy modification attack occurring over a residential network. The issue was identified through abnormal behavior when accessing https://nynd.uscourts.gov, including unauthorized live chat widgets and WebSocket traffic from a third-party commercial server.

Initial suspicion focused on IP address 192.168.1.181, but this has been confirmed to be a Canon printer. Attention has shifted to the router itself (192.168.1.1), which is exhibiting signs of proxy interference and may be compromised or replaced.

Key Indicators and Evidence

1. Unexpected JavaScript/WebSocket Activity:

- Pages from https://nynd.uscourts.gov loaded socket.io code and opened live WebSocket connections to:

wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/

- alive5.com is a commercial chat provider not associated with the U.S. Courts.

2. Browser Console Evidence:

- DevTools shows repeated failed WebSocket connections to unauthorized domains.
- Injected JavaScript present on government websites via .socket.io and files.alive5.com.

3. Invalid Security Certificates:

- Accessing https://192.168.1.1 triggers a NET::ERR_CERT_AUTHORITY_INVALID error.
- Certificate warning also occurs on https://192.168.1.181 (Canon printer, now ruled out as attacker).

- Suggests possible self-signed MITM proxy certificate injected on router or DNS gateway.

4. Device and Network Scan:

- Local network scans showed device 192.168.1.181 with MAC dc-c2-c9-73-90-92.
- Ping times to .181 varied between 3ms and 156ms, indicating processing activity.
- nbtstat returned host not found, indicating it's not a Windows-based system.

5. DNS Resolution:

- nslookup shows requests are being resolved by Spectrums official server.
- Despite this, HTML content of .gov websites appears to be tampered with or cloned.

6. Router Certificate Abnormality:

- Router admin panel at 192.168.1.1 also displays the same invalid certificate error.
- Suggests a spoofed or tampered router interface, possibly via reverse proxy or flashed firmware.

Conclusions

- The Canon printer at 192.168.1.181 has been ruled out as the MITM device.
- The router (192.168.1.1) is now the primary suspect, due to:
  - TLS certificate mismatch on admin panel
  - Pattern of MITM-style behavior
  - Presence of injected JavaScript on trusted websites
- DNS queries resolve correctly but the page contents are being altered, indicating either:
  1. DNS forwarder or split-horizon DNS within a hijacked router.
  2. A full MITM reverse proxy setup with script injection occurring after resolution.

- This attack is ongoing and affects all devices on the local network unless Wi-Fi is disabled.

- When switching to mobile data or using a separate network, the injection behavior disappears.

This suggests with high confidence that either the router itself or a device connected through the LAN port is spoofing content and injecting JavaScript payloads in real time.

Recommended Next Steps

1. Physically reset the router (hold reset pinhole for 30+ seconds).

2. Reconfigure manually:

   - Set a new Wi-Fi and admin password.

   - Use manual DNS servers (Google 8.8.8.8 / Cloudflare 1.1.1.1).

   - Enable MAC filtering and disable remote admin features.

3. Disconnect or block any unknown wired devices.

4. Inspect the router housing and cabling for any tampering or modifications.

5. Generate new TLS certificates for all devices if needed.

Optional:

- Replace router entirely with a known clean model.

- Flash original firmware from manufacturers support page (if applicable).

Prepared By

Kayla Orsell

Date: June 5, 2025

This report is based on real-time technical inspection, multiple diagnostic tests, packet and socket analysis, and TLS verification. All actions and observations were documented through screenshots

and logs for future legal or investigative use.

README — Alleged Forged Federal Court Filings (For Analysis)

Purpose of This Folder
This folder contains documents presented as **alleged** federal court filings that are provided **solely for public review, research, and analysis**. The materials are shared to facilitate examination of authenticity indicators, formatting anomalies, docket inconsistencies, and other factors relevant to determining whether the documents are genuine or forged.

Important Notice
Nothing in this folder represents a claim that the documents are authentic court records. No document herein should be relied upon as an official filing, legal authority, or proof of court action. All items are labeled and preserved for transparency and investigative review only.

Scope
Materials may include scanned pleadings, petitions, orders, coversheets, or related exhibits that purport to resemble federal court filings. Where possible, original filenames, pagination, and visual characteristics have been preserved to allow independent assessment.

Redactions
Personal identifiers and sensitive information may be redacted in public versions. Any redactions are made to protect privacy and do not imply authenticity or falsity.

Verification & Analysis
Readers are encouraged to independently verify any document against official sources such as PACER, CourtListener/RECAP, or the relevant Clerk of Court. Hashes, timestamps, or mirrors may be provided to support integrity checks.

No Legal Advice
This repository does not provide legal advice. Nothing herein creates an attorney–client relationship or substitutes for consultation with qualified counsel.

Contact / Attribution
This README accompanies a public-interest collection intended to support transparency and informed discussion. Attribution, if any, reflects the curator of the collection and not a court or governmental entity.

 Exhibit

Urgent: DNA Kit Account Discrepancy and Possible Tampering Concern

2 messages

Kayla Orsell <kaylaorsell2@gmail.com> Mon, May 5, 2025 at 4:42 AM
To: support@myheritage.com

Dear MyHeritage Support Team,

I am reaching out regarding a serious issue involving my DNA kit and account access. I originally purchased my DNA kit through MyHeritage using the email address However, I recently discovered that my DNA results appear to be associated with a different email account, koscustoms51g outlook.com, which I did not authorize for this purpose.

In addition to this discrepancy, I experienced a troubling incident during the return process of my DNA sample. After mailing the kit from my home mailbox, it was returned with an ink stamp claiming improper postage. I then brought the unopened, original package directly to the post office, where they verified that the postage was, in fact, correct. I sent the same package again, and it was accepted without issue. This has raised concerns for me about possible tampering, especially since the package was left in my family's mailbox for over a day before I noticed the return.

Given these circumstances, I am requesting the following:

1. Confirmation of the email address and account currently linked to my DNA kit.

2. Reassignment of the kit and all associated data to my verified purchase email: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com.

3. Assurance that no unauthorized parties have accessed or altered my DNA sample, profile, or results.

4. A downloadable copy of the raw DNA data currently associated with my sample.

I am very concerned about the integrity of my DNA results and potential identity issues. Please treat this as an urgent matter and advise me on the next steps to secure my information and ensure I have rightful control over my genetic data.

Thank you for your prompt attention.

Sincerely,
Kayla Orsell
Email: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com
DOB: October 30, 1988
[Include Kit Activation Code or Order Number if available]

MyHeritage Support <no-reply@myheritage.com> Mon, May 5, 2025 at 4:46 AM
To: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Hi,

This is an automated response to the email you sent to support@myheritage.com. We understand you would like to get in touch with us, but this email address is not monitored and our team will not be able to read or respond to your email.

Your satisfaction is important to us, so we want to make sure your inquiry is properly addressed. If you wish to contact our Support team, please visit this page to submit your request, and an agent will contact you shortly.

Alternatively, we recommend consulting our virtual assistant to receive immediate help with any billing or shipping issues. You can also get instant answers to frequently asked questions and find step-by-step guides for using MyHeritage by visiting our Help Center.

To receive personalized assistance, please log into your MyHeritage account before accessing the virtual assistant or the Help Center.

“‘*Please do not reply to this email, as we will not be able to see your reply.*““

Sincerely,
The MyHeritage Support Team

[Quoted text hidden]

Urgent Inquiry: "Self / Identical Twin" DNA Match — Possible Duplication or Tampering

2 messages

Kayla Orsell «kaylaorsell2@gmail.com> Mon, May 5, 2025 at 4:57 AM
To: support@myheritage.com

Dear MyHeritage Support,

I am writing to urgently request your assistance regarding a highly concerning issue with my DNA results. On my DNA Match list, I am shown to have a match labeled as “Self / Identical Twin” sharing 99.4°a DNA with me. However, I have only ever submitted one DNA sample and used one kit purchased under my email address: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com.

To be clear:

I have not uploaded my raw DNA file to any other MyHeritage account.

I have not submitted multiple DNA samples.

I did not authorize anyone else to submit or upload my DNA data.

Furthermore, I previously experienced an issue where my DNA sample was returned with a postage stamp stating it was “improper," despite being verified as correct by the post office. This event, along with the current match anomaly, raises serious concerns for me about potential tampering, identity compromise, or internal duplication of my sample or data.

I respectfully request the following:

1. Verification of any other account(s) that have uploaded or are managing a DNA kit with my genetic data.

2. The email address and user name associated with the ”Self / Identical Twin“ match.

3. Confirmation of whether MyHeritage's systems duplicated my sample or data internally.

4. Reassurance that my genetic information has not been accessed or misused by unauthorized parties.

5. If applicable, please remove or merge the duplicate kit and ensure my account is the sole manager of my DNA.

Please treat this request as urgent, as it relates to the integrity of my genetic data and potential privacy violations.

Thank you for your prompt attention. I am happy to provide further identifying information or verification as needed.

Sincerely,
Kayla Orsell
Email: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com
DOB: October 30, 1988

MyHeritage Support «no-reply@myheritage.com» Mon, May 5, 2025 at 5:01 AM
To: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Hi,

This is an automated response to the email you sent to support@myheritage.com. We understand you would like to get in touch with us, but this email address is not monitored and our team will not be able to read or respond to your email.

Your satisfaction is important to us, so we want to make sure your inquiry is properly addressed. If you wish to contact our Support team, please visit this page to submit your request, and an agent will contact you shortly.

Alternatively, we recommend consulting our virtual assistant to receive immediate help with any billing or shipping **issues. You** can also get instant answers to frequently asked questions and find step-by-step guides for using MyHeritage by visiting our Help Center.

To receive personalized assistance, please log into your MyHeritage account before accessing the virtual assistant or the Help Center.

"Please do not reply to this email, as we will not be able to see your reply."

Sincerely,
The MyHeritage Support Team

[Quoted text hidden]

AFFIDAVIT REGARDING DNA TAMPERING, MISATTRIBUTED PATERNITY, AND SUSPECTED FAMILY FRAUD

STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

I, Kayla Leigh Orsell, being duly sworn, depose and say:

I am a resident of Johnstown, New York, residing at 81 Chestnut Street. I submit this affidavit to document the suspected tampering of my DNA test results, concealment of my true paternity, and unlawful access to my legal documents during a period of incarceration.

1. In 2018, I submitted a DNA sample to Ancestry.com. After sharing with my family that I had discovered unexpected heritage and found no DNA matches, 1 was locked out of my account. I also lost access to the email address associated with that Ancestry account. In late 2024, l accessed Ancestry.com through a new account that does not have DNA data and confirmed that my original account still existed but continued to show no DNA matches. I called Ancestry customer service to regain access, and they informed me that someone had very recently deleted both my account, and the DNA data attached to it. To date, I do not have access to the original email account. Additionally, two individuals alleged to be my first cousins have submitted DNA to Ancestry, and neither of them match me genetically.

2. . In 2023, I submitted a DNA sample to MyHeritage. Subsequently, a second DNA profile appeared marked as "Self / Identical Twin," matching mine at 99.4%. I never submitted a second sample and have no known identical twin.

3. I believe my raw DNA file was cloned or manipulated and uploaded to another MyHeritage account to obscure my biological identity.

4. My short-form birth certificate lists Victor P. Orsell Sr. as my father, but I was told growing up that my father was Victor Orsell Jr. This discrepancy, combined with DNA results and record inconsistencies, suggests I may have been adopted, or paternity was falsified.

5. While I was incarcerated between 2013 and 2015, someone obtained a certified copy of my birth certificate in 2014. I suspect this was done to gain unauthorized control over benefits, guardianship, or identity-related legal matters.

6. My family lives at 81 Chestnut Street, adjacent to my residence. I believe they have tampered with my mail and obstructed my efforts to access DNA results and family records to conceal my true paternity and financial claims.

7. I uploaded my DNA to GEDmatch and have been using forensic tools to detect hidden relatives and identify altered segment data.

8. I submitted FOIL requests to NYS Department of Health, Fulton County Clerk, and Surrogate's Court to determine if unauthorized legal filings—such as guardianship or power of attorney—were created during my incarceration.

I make this sworn statement to preserve my legal identity, pursue rightful access to records and property, and expose any effort to suppress evidence of my true lineage and inheritance rights.

Dated: z ‹ (.J
Johnstown, New York

Kayla Leigh Orsell

NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGXIENT

State of New York
County of _____

On the ;____ day of)* y9 , 2025, before me, the undersigned, personally appeared Kayla Leigh Orsell, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to this instrument and acknowledged to me that she executed the same.

Witness my hand and official seal:

MnryAaa liars
ysbtk, Stata erXew'¥erb

Notary Public

Qualified in Fulton County
My Commission Expires on 9/22/2028

My commission expires:)l -1

January 28, 2026

NOTICE OF MAIL AND ELECTRONIC INTERFERENCE

(Preservation of Rights and Record Clarification)

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE

E that the undersigned submits this Notice to formally document and preserve the record regarding recurring and material mail delivery irregularities, electronic communication disruptions, and document access interference affecting matters related to this filing.

This Notice is provided in good faith for the limited purposes of:

(1) explaining potential delays, omissions, or inconsistencies in correspondence or records;

(2) preserving the undersigned's rights; and

(3) ensuring that the reviewing authority is aware that any gaps in the record may not be attributable to inaction, waiver, or neglect by the undersigned.

1. **Scope of Interference**

The undersigned has experienced ongoing issues including, but not limited to:

Delayed, missing, misdirected, or unacknowledged U.S. mail

Inconsistent delivery confirmations or unexplained failures of certified or tracked mail

Disruptions to electronic communications, including email delivery, account access, document transmission, and online submission portals

Interference with the ability to timely receive, retrieve, print, upload, or respond to official notices, filings, or agency correspondence.

2. **Affected Matters**

These issues have impacted, or may have impacted, communications and submissions relating to:

Trust administration and beneficiary verification

Surrogate's Court filings and related estate or trust record inquiries

Claims, documentation, or correspondence with the New York State Office of the Comptroller, including the Office of Unclaimed Funds

Supporting exhibits, affidavits, and evidentiary materials transmitted by mail or electronically.

3. **No Waiver of Rights**

January 28, 2026

The undersigned expressly states that:

No rights, claims, defenses, objections, or remedies are waived by any delay, omission, or procedural irregularity potentially resulting from the above-described interference

Any failure to respond, supplement, or comply within an expected timeframe should not be construed as abandonment, acquiescence, or lack of standing

This Notice is intended to preserve the integrity of the record and to alert reviewing authorities that alternative explanations may exist for any perceived deficiencies.

4. **Continuing Duty to Supplement**

The undersigned reserves the right to:

Supplement the record with additional documentation, affidavits, or exhibits as access permits

Provide replacement copies of previously sent materials if requested or if proof of delivery is disputed

Update this Notice should additional facts become available.

5. **Good-Faith Submission**

This Notice is submitted respectfully and without accusation, solely to ensure accuracy, fairness, and transparency in the handling of the underlying matter(s).

Submitted by:

Kayla Leigh Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

(518) 705-4054

knockoutretail518@proton.me

Date: January 28, 2026

SWORN AFFIDAVIT OF INHERITANCE, FRAUD, AND OBSTRUCTION

I, Kayla Orsell, residing in Johnstown, New York, being of sound mind and under oath, do hereby swear and affirm the following facts based on my personal knowledge, experience, and documentation:

1. BACKGROUND AND FAMILY HISTORY

1.1. I am the biological daughter of Micheal G. Orsell (deceased 1998), or potentially his son Todd Orsell (deceased 2008), and have reason to believe I was named or intended to be named as an heir in one or more estate or trust instruments. Due to DNA tampering and obstruction, I have been prevented from confirming my true biological parentage. 1.2. My paternity is uncertain because my DNA sample was stolen or tampered with in the mail, and the results I received were falsely attributed to a male profile. This occurred during a period of heightened interference with my communications and court activity. 1.3. Micheal Orsell was formerly married to Rhonda (also spelled "Rondah") Callery (deceased 2019), with whom he held joint property and financial accounts. These assets were never properly resolved through probate and remain in dispute. 1.4. I have no confirmed information about my biological mother, and attempts to uncover her identity have also been obstructed.

2. EXCLUSION FROM PROBATE AND PROPERTY INTERESTS

2.1. Rhonda Callery's estate (File No. 2024-9) was opened in Fulton County Surrogate's Court in 2024—over four years after her death—and closed unusually quickly with no notice to me. 2.2. I have legal standing as a potential distributee or trust beneficiary due to my relation to the Orsell family. However, I was never served or included in the estate family tree, which was later amended. 2.3. Properties tied to the estate—including 178 Spring Street, 334 W. Madison Avenue, and a parcel on Route 29—appear to have been fraudulently conveyed using life estate deeds and unrecorded remaindermen. The Gloversville Assessor's Office refused to identify the remainderman of 178 Spring Street despite my inquiry. 2.4. Multiple properties and JTWROS financial accounts remain in the names of deceased individuals and were excluded from probate disclosure. 2.5. My fiancé Caderon Tyrrell personally confirmed that Brandi Orsell Maye, listed as successor trustee, was unaware of the probate proceeding.

3. SUSPICIOUS TIMING OF ARREST AND BENEFIT INTERRUPTION

3.1. I was arrested on April 9, 2024, on fabricated misdemeanor charges. This coincided exactly with procedural filings and notices in the probate case of Rhonda Callery. 3.2. Around the same time, I received a letter from the Social Security Administration (SSA) claiming I had been institutionalized in a facility that absorbed over 50% of my monthly SSI benefit. This was false—I was not in any institution. 3.3. I believe this fraudulent institutionalization report was submitted to isolate me financially and legally during key probate developments.

4. DNA COLLECTION FRAUD AND IDENTITY THEFT

4.1. Following my arrest, my probation officer and local judge demanded a DNA sample—despite this being a misdemeanor case. New York State does not require DNA collection for misdemeanor

offenses. 4.2. My DNA was collected in a highly irregular manner: a swab of saliva onto paper, which I was charged $50 for. I have reason to believe the DNA collected was mishandled, replaced, or never sent to a legitimate lab. 4.3. A separate DNA test I attempted to submit by mail was intercepted. The results returned indicated a male profile—not mine—confirming interference. 4.4. The cumulative DNA manipulation has obstructed my ability to confirm lineage to Micheal or Todd Orsell, and has thus denied my rights to inheritance, medical history, and familial association.

5. COURTROOM OBSTRUCTION AND CLERK MISCONDUCT

5.1. When I visited the Fulton County Surrogate's Court to obtain the probate record for Rhonda Callery's estate, the court clerk falsely claimed there was no such file. 5.2. I showed the clerk a screenshot of the public WebSurrogate case listing. She then refused to allow me access to the public computer terminal and demanded to know my interest in the case. 5.3. Despite my explanation—that I may be Micheal Orsell's biological child and was excluded from the proceeding—she dismissed my concerns and obstructed further access.

6. CONFLICT OF INTEREST: JUDGE CHAD W. BROWN

6.1. My former defense attorney and my father both claimed Chad Brown was the District Attorney on my criminal case. In fact, James P. Riley was the DA of record. 6.2. Judge Chad Brown now presides over the Surrogate's Court case involving the estate and properties of Rhonda Callery—directly tied to the Orsell family. 6.3. This presents a serious conflict of interest, especially as my criminal case was used to extract DNA and prevent me from pursuing rightful estate claims.

7. HABEAS CORPUS OBSTRUCTION

7.1. I filed a federal habeas corpus petition (2241) under the name Kayla Orsell while incarcerated, alleging due process violations, ineffective assistance of counsel, and obstruction of access to the courts. 7.2. I later discovered that no court response was received, and I have reason to believe the filing and supporting mail were intercepted or blocked. 7.3. I suspect falsified replies were generated or my petition was intentionally misrouted. My supporting exhibits and legal requests have also gone unacknowledged.

8. REQUEST FOR RELIEF AND INVESTIGATION

I respectfully request that this affidavit be accepted as a formal complaint and call to action for: - Investigation into the estate of Rhonda Callery, including concealed trust and probate records; - Review of potential judicial misconduct by Judge Chad W. Brown and defense attorney collusion; - Production of the DNA collection chain of custody and investigation into sample replacement; - Review of Social Security's false institutionalization letter and related benefit suspension; - Disclosure of any guardianship or secret trust established in my name; - Immediate recognition of my standing as an heir or distributee to the Orsell estate; - Reinstatement of full SSI benefits and legal standing to contest past convictions.

I affirm under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Date: 06/10/2025

Signature: 

Kayla Orsell
Johnstown, NY

FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

In the Matter of the Application of
KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,
Petitioner,
To Reopen Adoption Proceedings and Unseal Records

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PETITION TO UNSEAL ADOPTION RECORDS

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This Memorandum of Law is submitted in support of Kayla Leigh Orsell's Verified Petition to unseal adoption records under Domestic Relations Law § 114(4) and to vacate the adoption judgment based on fraud, misrepresentation, and misconduct under CPLR § 5015(a)(3).

Petitioner respectfully submits that continued sealing of the adoption records in this matter no longer serves any protective interest for the adoptee, biological parents, or any party involved. To the contrary, sealing now operates as a shield for concealment of fraud, abuse, and denial of constitutional and inheritance rights — precisely the type of injustice that the "good cause" exception in DRL § 114(4) was designed to remedy.

II. RELEVANT LAW

A. Domestic Relations Law § 114(4)

Under DRL § 114, adoption records are sealed by default, but the statute provides:

> “No person shall be allowed access to such records and orders and no information relating thereto shall be released therefrom except by order of a judge or surrogate of the court in which the order was made... upon good cause shown.”

The term "good cause" is not rigidly defined and is left to the discretion of the court. Courts have routinely found good cause exists when:

- The sealed information is necessary to protect legal rights;
-
- Disclosure is required for the administration of justice;
-
- Or sealing results in concealment of fraud or injustice.

(Matter of Linda F.M., 52 A.D.2d 288 [1st Dept 1976]; Matter of Spinks v. Jackson, 213 A.D.2d 212 [1st Dept 1995])

B. CPLR § 5015(a)(3) – Fraud Upon the Court

CPLR § 5015(a)(3) allows a court to relieve a party from a judgment or order upon a showing of:

> “fraud, misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party.”

Where an adoption was secured by concealment of biological parentage, misrepresentation of marital or fiduciary status, or suppression of material facts during related estate or trust proceedings, the finality of the adoption may be challenged and reviewed in the interest of justice.

III. ARGUMENT

A. Continued Sealing No Longer Serves a Protective Function

The Petitioner is now 37 years old, fully competent, and has affirmatively waived any privacy interest. There is no identifiable person for whom sealing continues to serve a legitimate protective function. The biological parents are believed deceased. There is no competing interest in confidentiality that outweighs the Petitioner's constitutional and legal rights to access the records.

Sealing now impairs Petitioner's ability to:

- Assert possible inheritance or trust rights;
- Challenge fraudulent court filings involving her name or estate interests;
- Investigate how court-appointed attorneys and guardians may have failed to protect her;
- And uncover the full circumstances of her identity, adoption, and the deprivation of her legal personhood.

B. Sealing Has Become a Tool of Fraud and Obstruction

- Petitioner has produced significant evidence of:
- Misrepresentation of adoptive parents' marital status;
- Suppression of biological parentage and estate rights;
- Probate litigation (e.g., Koenig v. Orsell) conducted without disclosure of Petitioner's interest;
- Use of sealed records to block habeas filings, guardianship inquiries, and rightful access to medical and identity information;
- Persistent obstruction through forged mail slips, intimidation, and interference with legal counsel.

Sealing in this context is not protecting vulnerable parties — it is protecting abusers and fraudsters from scrutiny and accountability. This is an inversion of the statute's purpose and should not be allowed to continue.

C. Public Policy Favors Unsealing to Prevent Injustice

New York courts have recognized that sealed records may be disclosed when justice requires disclosure, particularly in the face of documented or probable fraud.

> "The court must balance the policy of preserving confidentiality in adoption proceedings with the interests of the parties seeking disclosure and the public interest in exposing fraud."
> — Matter of Spinks v. Jackson, 213 A.D.2d 212 (1st Dept 1995)

Petitioner respectfully submits that her situation presents an urgent and compelling need for the Court to intervene, unseal the records, and permit a full evidentiary review.

IV. CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, Petitioner Kayla Leigh Orsell respectfully requests that the Court:

Grant her Petition to Unseal All Adoption and Guardianship Records;

Permit inspection and certified copies of all materials;

And grant such other and further relief as justice requires.

Dated: 08/16/2025

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095

MITM Intrusion Investigation Report

Incident Summary

User observed suspicious behavior while accessing https://nynd.uscourts.gov, including injected live chat widgets, unexplained WebSocket traffic, and invalid security certificates.

The behavior persisted across sessions and appeared to modify or spoof otherwise secure court-related content.

Investigation revealed unauthorized WebSocket connections to alive5.com using socket.io, which are not associated with any U.S. government domains.

A rogue device was detected on the local network at IP address 192.168.1.181 with MAC address dc-c2-c9-73-90-92.

Device and Network Evidence

ARP Scan:

- Detected 192.168.1.181 on local network
- MAC: dc-c2-c9-73-90-92
- Device does not respond to NetBIOS (nbtstat)

Ping:

- Device responds, but with fluctuating latency (3ms to 156ms), suggesting active packet processing

TLS Warning:

- Visiting https://192.168.1.181 results in a certificate error: NET::ERR_CERT_AUTHORITY_INVALID

- Same error appears on router (192.168.1.1), suggesting proxy or firmware compromise

Web Evidence and Behavior

- Browser DevTools confirmed connections to:

  wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/

- This is not a U.S. government domain

- JavaScript libraries and injected socket.io code appeared in otherwise trusted pages

- Suggests active man-in-the-middle (MITM) injection or proxy

- Disabling Wi-Fi and switching to mobile data bypasses the injection, confirming local network tampering

Conclusion and Threat Level

This evidence confirms the presence of a man-in-the-middle proxy operating at 192.168.1.181, capable of injecting content into secure (HTTPS) websites including U.S. court domains.

The rogue device is intercepting or modifying web traffic and could be used for surveillance, phishing, or disinformation.

Immediate action is recommended:

- Physically remove or block the device

- Reset the router and re-secure the network

- Submit this report to IC3, DHS CISA, or trusted legal authorities

Suggested MAC Address Block: dc-c2-c9-73-90-92

MITM Intrusion Investigation Report

Prepared By

Kayla Orsell

Date: June 5, 2025

This report is based on direct technical observation, system analysis, and forensic screenshots captured during an active intrusion. Supporting materials available upon request.

Consolidated MITM and Network Interference Report

Overview

This report documents strong evidence of an active man-in-the-middle (MITM) interception or proxy modification attack occurring over a residential network. The issue was identified through abnormal behavior when accessing https://nynd.uscourts.gov, including unauthorized live chat widgets and WebSocket traffic from a third-party commercial server.

Initial suspicion focused on IP address 192.168.1.181, but this has been confirmed to be a Canon printer. Attention has shifted to the router itself (192.168.1.1), which is exhibiting signs of proxy interference and may be compromised or replaced.

Key Indicators and Evidence

1. Unexpected JavaScript/WebSocket Activity:

- Pages from https://nynd.uscourts.gov loaded socket.io code and opened live WebSocket connections to:

wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/

- alive5.com is a commercial chat provider not associated with the U.S. Courts.

2. Browser Console Evidence:

- DevTools shows repeated failed WebSocket connections to unauthorized domains.
- Injected JavaScript present on government websites via .socket.io and files.alive5.com.

3. Invalid Security Certificates:

- Accessing https://192.168.1.1 triggers a NET::ERR_CERT_AUTHORITY_INVALID error.
- Certificate warning also occurs on https://192.168.1.181 (Canon printer, now ruled out as attacker).

- Suggests possible self-signed MITM proxy certificate injected on router or DNS gateway.

4. Device and Network Scan:

- Local network scans showed device 192.168.1.181 with MAC dc-c2-c9-73-90-92.
- Ping times to .181 varied between 3ms and 156ms, indicating processing activity.
- nbtstat returned host not found, indicating it's not a Windows-based system.

5. DNS Resolution:

- nslookup shows requests are being resolved by Spectrums official server.
- Despite this, HTML content of .gov websites appears to be tampered with or cloned.

6. Router Certificate Abnormality:

- Router admin panel at 192.168.1.1 also displays the same invalid certificate error.
- Suggests a spoofed or tampered router interface, possibly via reverse proxy or flashed firmware.

Conclusions

- The Canon printer at 192.168.1.181 has been ruled out as the MITM device.
- The router (192.168.1.1) is now the primary suspect, due to:
  - TLS certificate mismatch on admin panel
  - Pattern of MITM-style behavior
  - Presence of injected JavaScript on trusted websites
- DNS queries resolve correctly but the page contents are being altered, indicating either:
  1. DNS forwarder or split-horizon DNS within a hijacked router.
  2. A full MITM reverse proxy setup with script injection occurring after resolution.

- This attack is ongoing and affects all devices on the local network unless Wi-Fi is disabled.

- When switching to mobile data or using a separate network, the injection behavior disappears.

This suggests with high confidence that either the router itself or a device connected through the LAN port is spoofing content and injecting JavaScript payloads in real time.

Recommended Next Steps

1. Physically reset the router (hold reset pinhole for 30+ seconds).

2. Reconfigure manually:

   - Set a new Wi-Fi and admin password.

   - Use manual DNS servers (Google 8.8.8.8 / Cloudflare 1.1.1.1).

   - Enable MAC filtering and disable remote admin features.

3. Disconnect or block any unknown wired devices.

4. Inspect the router housing and cabling for any tampering or modifications.

5. Generate new TLS certificates for all devices if needed.

Optional:

- Replace router entirely with a known clean model.

- Flash original firmware from manufacturers support page (if applicable).

Prepared By

Kayla Orsell

Date: June 5, 2025

This report is based on real-time technical inspection, multiple diagnostic tests, packet and socket analysis, and TLS verification. All actions and observations were documented through screenshots

and logs for future legal or investigative use.

Printed Name: _____

My Commission Expires: _____

README — Alleged Forged Federal Court Filings (For Analysis)

Purpose of This Folder
This folder contains documents presented as **alleged** federal court filings that are provided **solely for public review, research, and analysis**. The materials are shared to facilitate examination of authenticity indicators, formatting anomalies, docket inconsistencies, and other factors relevant to determining whether the documents are genuine or forged.

Important Notice
Nothing in this folder represents a claim that the documents are authentic court records. No document herein should be relied upon as an official filing, legal authority, or proof of court action. All items are labeled and preserved for transparency and investigative review only.

Scope
Materials may include scanned pleadings, petitions, orders, coversheets, or related exhibits that purport to resemble federal court filings. Where possible, original filenames, pagination, and visual characteristics have been preserved to allow independent assessment.

Redactions
Personal identifiers and sensitive information may be redacted in public versions. Any redactions are made to protect privacy and do not imply authenticity or falsity.

Verification & Analysis
Readers are encouraged to independently verify any document against official sources such as PACER, CourtListener/RECAP, or the relevant Clerk of Court. Hashes, timestamps, or mirrors may be provided to support integrity checks.

No Legal Advice
This repository does not provide legal advice. Nothing herein creates an attorney–client relationship or substitutes for consultation with qualified counsel.

Contact / Attribution
This README accompanies a public-interest collection intended to support transparency and informed discussion. Attribution, if any, reflects the curator of the collection and not a court or governmental entity.

Mail Interference Patterns - Evidence Summary

Based on documented incidents involving USPS handling of mailed items

Pattern A: Buffalo Return Address Override Fraud

1. Mail dropped in blue box at St. Johnsville USPS with Buffalo digital mailbox return address.
2. Insider identifies mail via collection timing (possible vehicle tracking, geofence, ALPR).
3. Before or during initial processing, USPS Address Management System (AMS) is altered to replace the printed Buffalo return address with sender's actual home address in Johnstown, NY.
4. Mail is routed to an intercept facility (e.g., Springfield, MA) instead of intended destination.
5. Yellow 'Return to Sender' label applied; piece is sent back to home address without real delivery attempt.

Pattern B: Syracuse Premature Return-to-Sender

1. Mail dropped in blue box at St. Johnsville USPS with actual home return address.
2. Item should route south/west toward Kentucky but is diverted to Syracuse, NY P&DC.
3. Syracuse facility applies 'Return to Sender' label before any delivery attempt to Kentucky.
4. RTS codes on yellow label are internal routing/handling indicators, not consumer-facing reasons.
5. Returned directly to sender, bypassing the intended delivery region entirely.
6. Strongly suggests facility-level insider access or cooperation at Syracuse P&DC.

Key Observations Linking Both Patterns

- Both patterns involve premature RTS without proper delivery attempt.
- Different return address handling: Pattern A uses override, Pattern B uses facility diversion.
- Multiple intercept facilities suggest broader insider network (Springfield, Syracuse).
- Consistent with targeted mail interference related to legal filings and sensitive correspondence.

Petition to Administer Assets and Determine Heirship

STATE OF NEW YORK

SURROGATE'S COURT: COUNTY OF FULTON

In the Matter of the Estate of

MICHAEL G. ORSELL, Deceased.

PETITION TO ADMINISTER ASSETS TIED TO LAND PATENT AND TO DETERMINE HEIRSHIP

TO THE SURROGATE'S COURT OF THE COUNTY OF FULTON:

The undersigned, Petitioner, respectfully alleges:

1. Petitioner is an interested party and potential heir of the above-named decedent, MICHAEL G. ORSELL, who died on or about the year 1998, domiciled in Fulton County, New York.

2. At the time of his death, Decedent owned, in whole or in part, certain real property tied to Lot 526 of the W. Kingsborough Patent, located in the Town of Johnstown, Fulton County, New York, Map ID 141.-00-01-12.000, as described in recorded deeds including but not limited to Liber 549 Page 287 in the Fulton County Clerk’s Office.

3. The property was at one time held jointly with Rhonda L. Orsell; however, the joint tenancy was severed upon divorce circa 1985, resulting in the Decedent holding an undivided one-half interest as tenant in common.

4. Upon Decedent's death, his one-half interest in the property became part of his probate estate. Said interest has never been properly administered, conveyed, or distributed to his lawful heirs.

5. Petitioner further seeks discovery of any and all documents, deeds, probate records, and title history necessary to identify the true heirs to Decedent's property interest, including but not limited to the examination of any person or entity claiming an interest in said property.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully prays for the following relief:

a) An order compelling the production of any and all records relating to the ownership, transfer, and title of the property;

b) A determination of the lawful heirs of Michael G. Orsell;

c) Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: 08/16/2025

Respectfully submitted,



Kayla Leigh Orsell – Pro Se Petitioner

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

(518)-571-1329

Kayla Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

Date: 09/04/2025

Clerk of the Court
Fulton County Surrogates Court
223 West Main Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

Re: Request for Information Regarding Possible Guardianship

Dear Clerk of the Court,

I am writing to request information regarding whether there is currently any guardianship, conservatorship, or related proceeding in place concerning myself, Kayla Orsell, born October 30, 1988.

I have reason to believe that a guardianship may have been established when I was younger, possibly involving Judge Brett Preston or Victor Paul Orsell Jr. but I am uncertain of the current status. I respectfully request that you:

1. Inform me whether there are any active or closed guardianship or conservatorship cases concerning me.

2. Provide me with the case number(s), if any exist.

3. Provide me with a copy of any order(s) appointing a guardian, conservator, or guardian ad litem, if such records exist.

I am requesting this information because I need to clarify my current legal status and exercise my rights.

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell

Kayla Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

Date: 09/04/2025

Clerk of the Court
Fulton County Surrogates Court
223 West Main Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

Re: Request for Information Regarding Possible Guardianship

Dear Clerk of the Court,

I am writing to request information regarding whether there is currently any guardianship, conservatorship, or related proceeding in place concerning myself, Kayla Orsell, born October 30, 1988.

I have reason to believe that a guardianship may have been established when I was younger, possibly involving Judge Brett Preston or Victor Paul Orsell Jr. but I am uncertain of the current status. I respectfully request that you:

1. Inform me whether there are any active or closed guardianship or conservatorship cases concerning me.

2. Provide me with the case number(s), if any exist.

3. Provide me with a copy of any order(s) appointing a guardian, conservator, or guardian ad litem, if such records exist.

I am requesting this information because I need to clarify my current legal status and exercise my rights.

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell

COPY

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF 440.10 MOTION

STATE OF NEW YORK)

) ss.:

COUNTY OF FULTON)

I, Kayla Leigh Orsell, being duly sworn, depose and say:

1. I am the Defendant in the above-captioned matter and submit this Affidavit in support of my motion to vacate the judgment of conviction entered on February 18, 2025, pursuant to CPL § 440.10. I submit this Affidavit both on grounds of newly discovered evidence (CPL § 440.10(1)(g)) and to detail continued and previously undiscoverable constitutional violations. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, except where indicated otherwise.

2. **Newly Discovered Evidence**

a. DNA File Tampering and Identity Misuse

- In May 2025, I obtained and forensically analyzed my raw DNA data file downloaded from MyHeritage. The file revealed the presence of 3,495 Y-chromosome single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), including 331 valid genotypes, despite my biological sex being female. This is biologically inconsistent and strongly indicates that the DNA file processed under my account

was not from my actual sample, but rather substituted or tampered with—potentially by someone with access to my mail or digital data.

- The file's metadata confirmed a generation date of May 16, 2025, format
- MHv1.0, and chip type GSA, with no duplicated RSIDs or structural anomalies. **(See Exhibit L)**
- A second MyHeritage profile appeared under the exact name "Kayla Orsell" and displayed a 99.4% DNA match with my profile, sharing extensive full-length DNA segments across nearly all chromosomes.
    - This level of genetic overlap is consistent with a re-uploaded or cloned raw DNA file rather than a biological match. I have never submitted more than one DNA test to MyHeritage, and this duplicate profile was not authorized by me. These findings confirm that my DNA data was either fraudulently reused, impersonated, or cloned and uploaded under a second account without my consent. **(See Exhibit M)** iv. This evidence was entirely unavailable to me before my conviction and directly undermines the legitimacy of any genetic claims used to corroborate false familial associations or legal identity. The DNA tampering was discovered only after conducting forensic analysis on both the raw file and segment-sharing reports, which I had no reasonable access to or ability to interpret prior to 2025.

b. Postal Service Tampering:

- I discovered that USPS Certified Mail cards used by the Northern District of New York Court to serve me lacked valid tracking numbers, date stamps, and legible recipient signatures, with postal employees allegedly signing mail addressed to me on my step-mothers behalf, undermining notice of critical filings. **(See Exhibit I)**
- My DNA kit envelope was returned marked "Not Enough Postage" despite correct postage and remained in a shared mailbox accessible by family members for over 24 hours, indicating deliberate interference with delivery of evidence.

c. Suspicious Vehicle Accident and Tampering

- On October 15, 2024, my car was involved in a motor vehicle accident that I now believe may have been deliberately orchestrated or exploited by individuals seeking to interfere with my legal proceedings and personal safety. The vehicle I call mine was titled to my father, Victor Orsell, but I was its primary operator. He maintained control of the title and insurance, despite my consistent use and financial responsibility for the vehicle.
- Immediately following the crash, my father and grandfather appeared at the scene within minutes—despite not being notified by me or emergency services. Their unexpected and rapid arrival, combined with their aggressive

behavior toward my injured partner (Caderon Tyrrell), raised serious concerns. My stepmother later made a disturbing comment suggesting that "if I had been in the passenger seat, I would have died," further fueling my suspicions of foul play.

- My father had been urging me not to file a habeas corpus motion starting by lying to me that an attorney he called said that habeas corpus was only for post-conviction. When I told him he was wrong, and I was going to file it anyways he immediately fired my public defender Kyle Davis and hired attorney James Melita who continued to try to stop me from filing.
- Crucially, several key items from the vehicle were missing after the incident, including a cell phone that contained critical legal documents and communications related to my habeas petition. That phone had also shown signs of remote access prior to the accident, such as changes to email and file access logs that I did not initiate. Additionally, a bouquet of flowers that Caderon bought me while he was out, was missing, indicating that someone accessed the vehicle between the time of the crash and its impoundment.
- The missing phone contained the only stored copies of specific communications and images that documented misconduct and digital interference. I believe that the phone was removed to destroy evidence, and my government benefit SIM was cloned prior to the crash in order to monitor my legal communications and undermine my claims.
- This event fits within a broader pattern of intimidation, digital surveillance, and family interference. It occurred during a period when I was actively

preparing legal motions, including my habeas corpus petition, and raises serious questions about whether this accident was coincidental or part of a coordinated effort to obstruct justice and suppress evidence.

3. I have experienced signs of a sophisticated cyber-attack which coincided directly with my false arrest and malicious prosecution and is still ongoing and active.
4. I believe that this cyber-attack was designed to obstruct legal communication, intercept filings, and surveil personal activity.

The following details summarize the technical anomalies and suspicious events reported:

a. Duplicate WiFi SSIDs were detected matching my 5G and 2G home networks, fluctuating in signal strength. This indicates the presence of a rogue access point or WiFi Evil Twin attack.
b. A device labeled 'Lynn's Firestick' appeared in my WiFi Direct menu with full-strength signal, despite her stepmother living at a distance. This suggests a hidden, nearby device used to eavesdrop or spoof a legitimate smart device.
c. I discovered my own legal documents had been stored on my stepmother, Lynn Orsell's, old phone, later given to my boyfriend Caderon Tyrrell, indicating unauthorized cloud access or device cloning.
d. I only found out my habeas case was filed because of a random Google search. I received no Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF).
e. When initially searching the PACER system using party names, the system returned no result. Later, documents appeared identical to those posted on

PacerMonitor, a third-party site that accepts user-submitted content and supports API keys.

f. I suspect DNS spoofing, MITM attacks, or packet interception altered what I could see on official court and PACER websites.

g. The suspicious presence of network devices and inconsistent visibility of my legal docket, combined with missing court notifications, show symptoms of network-level MITM (man-in-the-middle) attack, possibly involving local interference or routing manipulation.

h. My government benefit cellular phone showed its location to match the longitude and latitude of The Gloversville City Courthouse. **(See Exhibit X inside the amendment document Exhibit F)**

5. Discrepancies in Habeas Corpus Court Documents and Website Integrity

- Upon locating my habeas corpus case docket on the Northern District of New York (NDNY) court website, I observed multiple anomalies suggesting tampering or spoofing of official records. The documents filed as Docket Entries 3 and 4—titled "Memorandum-Decision and Order" and "Judgment"—lack several indicators typical of legitimate federal court documents. **(See Exhibits G and H)**
- First, these documents do not contain an embossed or printed seal of the court, which is standard on certified decisions, especially when used as a basis for initiating the appeal period. They are also missing Clerk of Court certification and were not accompanied by any Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF), which is required when parties are served electronically.

- Second, while these documents do include CM/ECF headers, there are irregularities in the formatting and lack of accompanying electronic service records. Additionally, the certified mail return receipts used to prove physical service were not signed by the recipient listed.
- My father, Victor Orsell, admitted that Lynn Orsell—the named signatory—did not sign the cards. He stated a postal worker signed on her behalf, which directly undermines the validity of service under FRCP 77(d) and due process principles.
- The return slips did not contain any official USPS postmark, round-date stamp, or tracking barcode, which is typical for authenticated certified mail.
- In addition to the discrepancies mentioned above, the slips are missing:
    - Date of delivery,
    - Printed name of recipient,
    - Clear address or tracking confirmation.
- Further raising concern, when visiting the NDNY court website (www.nynd.uscourts.gov), I noted that it does not display the standard banner used by federal .gov websites stating, "An official website of the United States government." This absence, combined with inconsistent security certificate indicators (the site shows as using TSL rather than SSL), suggests the possibility that the site may have been spoofed or intercepted during my access attempt.
- These technical inconsistencies, when viewed in conjunction with unauthorized access to my legal documents, forged postal records, and earlier cyber interference on my network, form a compelling pattern of digital and procedural

manipulation. I believe this conduct was designed to suppress or falsify court records and obstruct my right to meaningful review of my habeas petition.

5. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel and Coercion:

- During plea discussions, counsel misrepresented potential sentencing outcomes and failed to explore my family's interference—which counsel mischaracterized as irrelevant—depriving me of a knowing and voluntary plea.
- Counsel used my father as a tool to force me into a plea bargain that I did not want to take. He witnessed my father threaten me with eviction if I did not comply, stating that he could not afford to pay more to go to trial.
- Counsel stated that if I took my case to trial all the witness would lie, and I would get convicted and sentenced to twenty-five years in prison.
- Counsel said that the DA made an off-the-record statement saying that he knew I was not guilty of anything I was accused of.
- Counsel did not investigate digital forensic anomalies, despite my explicit concerns raised in pre-conviction hearings.
- I took the plea in spite of my better judgement because I was confident that my Habeas Corpus 28 2241 filing was going to get my case immediately thrown out due to the large number of civil rights violations, judicial misconduct, and prosecutorial misconduct.
- I would not have relied only on my petition alone if not for the fact I have two disabled quaker parrots I adopted who rely on me for medication and

care that I don't think anyone else would properly give and I was afraid to lose mine and my birds place to live.

6. Probation Officer's Violations of My ADA Rights

- Since my sentencing on February 18, 2025, the Fulton County Probation Department and Officer Shari Acquilla-Simon have imposed conditions that blatantly disregard my documented physical and psychiatric disabilities, in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- Despite being deemed disabled by the Social Security Administration at only 23 years old and receiving Supplemental Security Income, Officer Acquilla-Simon has repeatedly told me I am "not doing enough" and demanded that I seek employment. These comments directly contradict my medical limitations and fail to respect the binding nature of my federal disability status.
- I am required to attend weekly in-person probation meetings, which is more frequent than standard and creates a significant burden given my anxiety, lack of transportation, and reliance on an abusive family member for travel. Although I qualify for Medicaid transport, the service is unusable for me due to my mental health conditions.
- I am subjected to drug testing despite my conviction having no connection to substance use. I am already monitored through my medically supervised Suboxone program, which includes routine testing.

- On April 29, 2025, Officer Acquilla-Simon instructed me to seek new housing and discouraged the presence of my live-in caregiver—despite knowing he was a previously approved Freedom Care provider and remains essential to my medical and daily functioning. This created an immediate threat to my housing stability and well-being.
- My probation officer has also suggested that if the Department of Social Services could not help me relocate, I should consider entering a domestic violence shelter—even though I am not experiencing abuse at **my** residence. This suggestion was both inappropriate and destabilizing.
- I have been forced to undergo urinalysis under conditions that disregard my documented bladder issues and medication side effects. On one occasion, I was unable to urinate and was told I would be violated if I didn't return early the next morning by 9am. Although I complied while still sedated from my prescribed Thorazine, I was charged $30 for the test and given no documentation—despite later being told I tested positive for methamphetamine. I was never shown lab results and passed all subsequent third-party tests at St. Mary's Outpatient Clinic and Nathan Littauer Hospital.
- On May 13, 2025, Officer Acquilla-Simon told me I had failed for MDMA at St. Mary's, despite my counselor confirming that I had passed that test. She ordered another urinalysis that day, which caused me severe anxiety and bladder pain. I passed that test for everything except my prescribed medications, which are permitted under court order.

- I have experienced repeated early-morning home visits, even though my officer knows I take sedative medications and sleep late due to disability-related insomnia and pain. I was threatened with a violation for not answering the door—despite offering her contact with my parents next door, who have surveillance footage and did not see her arrive.
- After I left her a voicemail on a day I was sick from Suboxone withdrawal—caused by an online doctor sending the wrong prescription—she responded with a voicemail accusing me of selling or giving away my medication. I sent three faxes documenting the prescription error, the pickup delay, and photographic evidence from CVS and my prescribing doctor. Rather than acknowledging these documents, she escalated her threats. **(Exhibit Q – Faxes Sent to Probation)**
- Most recently, she has demanded that I enroll in Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), a program designed for individuals with severe psychiatric impairment. This would subject me to unnecessary clinical oversight and would seriously exacerbate my mental health conditions. **(See Exhibit N)**
- On May 9, 2025, I signed a self-employment plan with Access VR to restart my printing business using disability-based grant funding. Rather than support my effort toward independence, Officer Acquilla-Simon stated, "you're still not doing enough," and continued to pressure me with intensified supervision.
- I believe she is retaliating against me for asserting my rights and preparing and Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) complaint. I have reason to believe my electronic devices were compromised in a prior cyberattack, as documented in my

habeas corpus filing. I fear she may be attempting to have me jailed before this Court can hear my claims.

- These actions have caused me emotional distress, medical regression, housing instability, and significant interference with my federally protected rights. They reflect a pattern of disability discrimination and retaliation by a public entity and its official, in violation of Title II of the ADA and 42 U.S.C. § 12203.

Conclusion and Relief Requested

I respectfully submit that the evidence detailed above—both newly discovered and previously concealed—demonstrates compelling grounds for vacatur of my conviction pursuant to CPL § 440.10. Moreover, the ongoing constitutional violations I endure, including due process deprivation, ADA noncompliance, and interference with legal proceedings, require immediate judicial intervention. I request that the Court grant my motion, vacate the judgment, and order an evidentiary hearing on these matters.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New York that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 17, 2025

Johnstown, New York

_____

with legal proceedings, require immediate judicial intervention. I request that the Court grant my motion, vacate the judgment, and order an evidentiary hearing on these matters.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New York that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 17, 2025

Johnstown, New York

Kayla Orsell

Kayla Leigh Orsell
Pro Se Defendant
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095 (518)
705-4054
kaylaorsell518@outlook.com

Notary Acknowledgment

STATE OF NEW YORK - COUNTY OF FULTON

On this 20th day of May, 25, before me, the undersigned, personally appeared Kayla Orsell, known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to this affidavit, and acknowledged to me that she executed the same in her capacity and that by her signature on the affidavit, she executed it willingly and for the purposes therein stated.

Notary Public Signature: Mary Ann Mars My Commission Expires: 9/22/2028

Mary Ann Mars
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01MA6193730
Qualified in Fulton County
My Commission Expires on 9/22/2028

EVIDENCE SUMMARY - CRIMINAL ACTS

CRIMINAL ACTS & SUPPORTING EVIDENCE - PAGE 2 4. COMPUTER INTRUSION (18 U.S.C. § 1030) - Malware appeared on brand new PC on first use. - VOIP phone compromised immediately after activation. - Spectrum account altered without consent, router settings modified remotely. 5. IDENTITY THEFT (18 U.S.C. § 1028) - DNA test revealed male genetic identity possibly replacing my own. - False family connections appear in genetic databases (MyHeritage). - Hidden trust may have been created under a manipulated or stolen identity. 6. REAL ESTATE & DEED FRAUD (N.Y. RPL § 266) - Property deeds filed using deceased names or people with life-use only. - Trust properties transferred without rightful consent or beneficiary input. - Rent collected illegally by unauthorized parties.

EVIDENCE SUMMARY - CRIMINAL ACTS

CRIMINAL ACTS & SUPPORTING EVIDENCE - PAGE 3 7. WIRE FRAUD U.S.C. § 1343) - Court websites (e.g., nynd.uscourts.gov) cloned or spoofed. - Online court filings and email communications intercepted or rerouted. - False digital signatures and forged responses through electronic channels. 8. RACKETEERING / RICO (18 U.S.C. § 1962) - Pattern of multiple crimes over several years involving family, property, courts, and digital interference. - Use of legal, postal, and financial access to coordinate asset theft and suppression. - Victim silenced via jail, probation threats, surveillance, and sabotage. 9. OFFICIAL MISCONDUCT / COLLUSION - Judge in probate court is former DA allegedly linked to past case. - Failure to recuse despite apparent conflict of interest. - Case processing delays and unexplained court inaction during key estate transitions.

Kayla Orsell – Summary of Evidence and Allegations

I. Background
Kayla Orsell is a certified paralegal and legal educator whose expertise in post-conviction relief and constitutional law developed during a wrongful federal incarceration. She is the founder of Cagebreaker Press, which supports incarcerated individuals and jailhouse lawyers with legal tools and publishing services. Kayla lives with severe anxiety and emotional regulation difficulties, making it unsafe for her to travel or attend appointments in person. All communications and services must be remote and secure.

II. Allegations and Evidence of Targeted Interference
Kayla has documented repeated, patterned tampering with her postal mail, including returned government mail from the USAC Lifeline Support Center with invalid justifications, family members with USPS access intercepting mail, and surveillance by relatives living next door. She has also experienced repeated electronic device compromise preventing safe legal research or communication. Her efforts to access DNA records have been consistently obstructed, including loss of access to Ancestry.com, and suspicious return of a DNA sample submitted to MyHeritage.

III. Actions Taken
Kayla has preserved photographic evidence, drafted formal complaints (e.g., to the U.S. Postal Inspection Service), and compiled all related documentation. Her fiance, Caderon Tyrrell, has provided a notarized affidavit confirming many of her allegations. Her summary is structured for legal review and agency submission.

IV. Immediate Requests
Kayla seeks a federal investigation into mail and digital interference, digital forensic analysis, remote legal advocacy, and acknowledgment of the credibility of her evidence-based allegations. All claims are supported by documents, witnesses, or observable patterns.

V. Supporting Attachments
- Photo of returned USPS envelope
- PDF complaint letter
- Notarized affidavit from Caderon Tyrrell
- This summary

VI. Concerns Regarding Biological Parentage
Kayla believes her true biological father was Michael G. Orsell, who died by suicide. Family members intentionally prevented contact and labeled Michael as unstable. This raises serious concerns of identity suppression or illegal adoption.

VII. Emotional and Substance Abuse History
Kayla was introduced to cocaine by her father at age 16. She was consistently told she was worthless and that her only path in life was through drug culture. She is now 13 years clean from IV use and 7 years clean from all use.

VIII. Real Estate and Public Benefits Fraud
Family members, including her father, have received life-use property deeds for free and may be hiding assets from Medicaid and IRS reporting. Victor rents out a property under Kayla's grandfather's name and has admitted to concealing income to avoid losing benefits.

IX. Coercive Control and Physical Confinement

Victor has padlocked Kayla inside her home and disabled her cars to prevent her from leaving. These acts amount to false imprisonment and reflect a long-term pattern of control and sabotage.

X. Childhood Medical Neglect

At age 12, Kayla broke her leg severely. Her parents ignored her pleas for help and tried to forcibly straighten the leg, causing further damage. She was not taken to the hospital for several days. A surgical team later repaired the leg, but the injury was worsened by delayed care and abuse.

XI. CPS Visits and Malnutrition

Child Protective Services visited the home more than once due to suspected neglect. During one visit, groceries were brought but refused by her parents. Kayla was underweight to a life-threatening degree in sixth grade and often had no access to food.

XII. Psychiatric Abuse and Suicide Attempt

At age 18, Kayla was sent to Dr. Randie Salmon, who misdiagnosed her with bipolar disorder without proper credentials. Kayla was prescribed 400 mg of Seroquel daily and attempted suicide the following day. She was unconscious for three days with no intervention by her family and emerged partially paralyzed, likely due to a stroke. Fear kept her from seeking medical care for 10 years.

XIII. Medical Recovery and Interference

Kayla later received safe, effective care from a trusted friend and doctor, Annie Wafer, who helped Kayla and her fiance recover from years of medical neglect. Once Kayla's family observed her recovery, they stalked and pressured Dr. Wafer into discontinuing care, forcing Kayla back to Dr. Salmon, who continued poor prescribing practices.

XIV. Pharmacy Error and Prolonged Corticosteroid Exposure

Dr. Salmon prescribed Fluconazole, but CVS substituted it with high-strength corticosteroid Clobetasol without proper warning. The pharmacy refilled it for nearly a year under fraudulent conditions, exposing Kayla to unnecessary medical risk.